

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
08/648,092		06/21/1996	JOHNSTON W. MCAVOY	UNSYD-39709 8661	
24201	7590	09/12/2006		EXAMINER	
FULWIDE			FAY, ZOHREH A		
6060 CENTER DRIVE 10TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90045				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1618	
				DATE MAILED: 09/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8¥

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany	08/648,092	MCAVOY ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Zohreh A. Fay	1618					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONEL	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. Communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-33 and 39-44</u> is/are pending in the application.							
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>29-33</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-28 and 39-44</u> is/are rejected.	·						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
· ·-	s have been received						
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior							
application from the International Bureau	· · · / · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	a m ano madena. Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	n □	(PTO 440)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) 🔯 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:						

Application/Control Number: 08/648,092

Art Unit: 1618

Claims 14-28 and 39-41 are presented for examination.

The remarks, declaration and exhibits filed on June 21,2006 have been received and entered.

Claims 14-28 and 39-41 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 first paragraph for the reasons set forth on pages 2-4 of the office action of January 26, 2005.

Claims 14-28 and 39-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 first paragraph for the reasons set forth on pages 2-3 of the office action of December 19, 2005.

Claims 19-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by WO 92/17206 for the reasons set forth on page 4 of the office action of January 26, 2005.

Applicant's arguments and declarations have been carefully considered, but are not deemed to be persuasive. Applicant in his declaration argues that on or before November 19,1993 it was known by the ordinary skill in the art that the biological activity of TGF-B may be inhibited by TGF-B inhibitors. Applicant also argues that on or before November 19, 1993 there were several assays for TGF-B described in the art including assays where TGF-B had an inhibitory effect on cellular proliferation. Applicant further refers to other assays for determining if a compound is aTGF-B inhibitor. The arguments are not well taken. Because of the known unpredictability of the art, and in the absence of sufficient experimental evidence, no one skilled in the art would accept the assertion that instantly claimed agents can be used for the treatment of cataract or after cataract formation as inferred by the claims and contemplated by the specification. What the specification does not do however is the necessary link between TGF-B inhibitors and actually finding the inhibitors, which are capable of treating cataract and,

Application/Control Number: 08/648,092

Art Unit: 1618

after cataract formation. In short, although the applicant describes assays for determining whether a given compound posses certain desired characteristics, and identifies some broad categories of compounds that might work, these descriptions, without more precise guidelines, amount to little more than "a starting point, a direction for further research" Genetech v. Novo Nordisk, 108 F. 3d 1361, 1366 (Fed. Circ. 1997). The specification does not describe the claimed invention in terms that will enable a person of ordinary skilled in the art to attempt to discover how to practice the claimed invention. Rochester 68 USPQ2d at 1438. The instant specification embraces a potentially almost infinite number of undefined potential candidate compounds, and have not identified more than a few having TGF-B inhibitory activity, let alone how to make and use such other compounds. Applicant's arguments regarding the 102 (b) rejection have also been carefully considered but are not deemed to be persuasive. Applicant alleges criticality to the topical ophthalmic composition of the claimed invention in comparison to the composition taught by the prior art. The allegation is not well taken. To use an old composition for a new purpose does not create a patentably distinct composition in the absence of evidence to the contrary. A composition reads on a compound and a pharmaceutical acceptable carrier. The intended use or the route of administration does not create a new composition.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 08/648,092

Art Unit: 1618

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zohreh A. Fay whose telephone number is (571) 272-0573. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hartley can be reached on (571) 272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 1618

Z.F

ZOHREH FAY PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200

Zahr Fin